## Columbus Bar 2022 Judicial Performance Poll Results Announced

The results of the Columbus Bar Association online 2022 Judicial Performance Poll have been calculated. Judges who have held office for at least one year were rated on a scale of one to five for performance in categories unique to each court. Franklin County attorneys were asked to rate the judges of the Franklin County Common Pleas Court, General and Domestic Relations/Juvenile Divisions, and the judges of the Tenth District Court of Appeals.

Attorneys were asked only to rank the judges with whom they have experience. Rating criteria was on a five-point scale for each specific category, with five representing excellent, four representing generally strong, three representing acceptable, two representing weak and one representing poor. An overall rating was then calculated for each judge based of the specific category ratings.

The Performance Poll was developed by the Columbus Bar to help maintain the high quality of our judiciary and to educate voters during an election year. The results are also an element considered by the Columbus Bar's Judicial Screening Committee when interviewing judicial candidates prior to the fall elections.

The results tally responses from attorneys who indicated they had appeared before the judge they were rating in the last two years. All Franklin County attorneys were eligible to vote in the poll, and 429 responses were received.

	Objectivity	Judicial Temperament	Legal Knowledge	Quality of Opinions	Timeliness	Sentencing	Overall Rating
Judge Betsy Luper Schuster	3.81	4.09	3.88	3.82	3.98	3.82	3.85
Judge Laurel Beatty Blunt	4.01	4.17	3.79	3.87	3.87	3.85	3.95
Judge William A. Klatt	4.17	4.46	4.49	4.29	4.35	4.18	4.28
Judge Lisa L. Sadler	4.03	4.26	4.17	4.09	4.29	3.90	4.15
Judge Julia L. Dorrian	4.14	4.23	4.03	4.09	4.15	3.92	4.10

## 2022 Judicial Performance Poll Results - Tenth District Court of Appeals

## 2022 Judicial Performance Poll Results - Domestic/Juvenile

	Objectivity	Judicial Temperament	Legal Knowledge	Quality of Opinions	Timeliness	Sentencing	Overall Rating
Judge James Brown	4.37	4.50	4.42	4.29	4.37	4.26	4.41
Judge George Leach	3.50	3.67	3.04	3.22	3.56	3.52	3.35
Judge Kim A. Browne	3.29	3.20	3.32	3.28	2.86	3.33	3.17
Judge Elizabeth Gill	4.58	4.59	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.40	4.57
Judge Monica Hawkins	3.43	3.43	2.87	3.07	3.28	3.46	3.19
Judge Dana S. Preisse	4.16	4.33	4.25	4.17	4.15	4.10	4.17

## 2022 Judicial Performance Poll Results - Court of Common Pleas, General Division

		Judicial	Legal	Quality of			
	Objectivity	Temperament	Knowledge	Opinions	Timeliness	Sentencing	<b>Overall Rating</b>
had an Kingh and a Carriet	2.40	2.22	2.22	2.25	2.24	2.24	2.26
Judge Kimberly Cocroft	3.48	3.32	3.38	3.35	3.34	3.34	3.36
Judge Richard A. Frye	3.96	3.90	4.54	4.34	4.38	3.87	4.16
Judge Carl A. Aveni	4.32	4.44	4.23	4.19	4.24	4.06	4.26
Judge Christopher Brown	3.81	3.74	3.77	3.70	3.94	3.84	3.83
Judge Jeffrey Brown	4.30	4.48	4.10	4.08	3.71	4.15	4.16
Judge Kim Brown	4.11	4.23	4.13	4.06	4.11	4.09	4.08
Judge Daniel R. Hawkins	4.16	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.26	4.06	4.20
Judge Michael J. Holbrook	3.91	3.85	3.92	3.82	3.93	4.00	3.89
Judge Julie M. Lynch	2.80	2.57	3.10	2.93	3.14	2.71	2.83
Judge Stephen L. McIntosh	4.42	4.64	4.34	4.27	3.92	4.19	4.35
Judge Andy Miller	3.92	4.05	3.86	3.79	3.74	3.75	3.78
Judge Sheryl K. Munson	4.22	4.40	4.13	4.14	4.31	4.10	4.23
Judge Colleen O'Donnell	3.68	3.89	3.62	3.61	3.66	3.65	3.63
Judge Jaiza N. Page	4.19	4.34	3.66	3.83	4.13	4.06	4.00
Judge Karen Held Phipps	3.76	3.84	3.84	3.80	4.09	3.69	3.84
Judge Mark Serrott	4.01	3.91	4.21	4.11	4.15	4.09	4.10
Judge David Young	4.29	4.38	4.23	4.16	4.33	4.13	4.26